COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

<u>APPEAL NO. 107 OF 2018 & IA NOS. 283 OF 2018 & 904 OF 2018</u>

Dated: 23rd July, 2018

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. S. D. Dubey, Technical Member

In the matter of:

M/s S D Bansal Iron and Steel Private Limited & Ors. Appellant(s)

Vs.

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission & Respondent(s)

Ors.

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Ms. Swapna Seshadri

Ms. Parichita Chowdhury

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Aaradhna Tandon

Ms. Mandakini Ghosh for R-1

Mr. Ganesan Umapathy

Ms. R. Mekhala

Mr. Aditya Sing for R-2 to 5

ORDER IA NO. 283 OF 2018 (for exemption from filing certified copy of the Impugned Order)

The learned counsel, Mr. Swapna Seshadri appearing for the Appellant submitted that, the instant application has been filed by the Appellant, praying for exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned order. The reasoning stated in the application may kindly be accepted and prayer sought in the application may kindly be granted in the interest of justice and equity.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the reasons stated in the application, the same was accepted and exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned order is granted to the Appellant. IA is allowed. With these observations, the instant IA stands disposed of.

(IA NO. 904 OF 2018 - Delay in filing Rejoinders)

We have heard the learned counsel, Ms. Swapna Seshadri appearing for the Appellant.

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant submitted that, there is a delay of 26 days in filing rejoinder to the reply filed by the Appellant. Further, she submitted that, in the light of the submissions made and the reasoning given in the application, the delay has been explained satisfactorily and sufficient cause has been shown in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay in filing rejoinder may kindly be condoned and the instant IAs may kindly be allowed in the interest of justice and equity.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and after perusal of the reasoning given in the application explaining the delay in filing rejoinder, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been shown in the application. The same is accepted and the delay in filing rejoinder is condoned. IA is allowed.

APPEAL NO. 107 OF 2018

The learned counsel, Ms. Aaradhna Tandon, appearing for the first Respondent prays for two weeks time to file her reply to the appeal.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent, as stated above, is placed on record.

The learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent is permitted to file her reply to the appeal by 06.08.2018 after duly serving copy on the other side. Thereafter, rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the Appellant by 27.08.2018, after duly serving copy on the other side.

List the matter on <u>05.09.2018</u>, as agreed by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents.

(S. D. Dubey)
Technical Member

(Justice N. K. Patil)
Judicial Member

pr/vt